



156 - 864

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. SERIAL NUMBER FILING DATE 0756-864 TAKEMURA 08/051,313 04/23/93 EXAMINER E5M1/1026 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER SIXBEY, FRIEDMAN, LEEDOM & FERGUSON 2010 CORPORATE RIDGE, STE. 600 MCLEAN, VA 22102 2515 DATE MAILED 10/26/94 This is a communication from the examiner in charge of your application. Glaction Dec :11/35/94 COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS This action is made final. Responsive to communication filed on_ This application has been examined O month(s), 30 days from the date of this letter. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ____ Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133 Part I THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION: 2. Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. 1. Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892. 4. Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152. 3. Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449. 5. Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474... Part II SUMMARY OF ACTION are pending in the application. Of the above, claims _____ are withdrawn from consideration. 2. Claims___ are allowed. 3. Claims 4. Claims 5. Claims _ are subject to restriction or election requirement. 6. Claims_ 7. This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes. 8. Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action. . Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings 9. The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on ____ are acceptable; not acceptable (see explanation or Notice of Draftsman's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948). The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on _______ has (have) been ☐ approved by the examiner; disapproved by the examiner (see explanation). 11. The proposed drawing correction, filed ___ 12 X Acknowledgement is made of the claim for priority under 35 U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has X been received not been received ☐ been filed in parent application, serial no. _____; filed on _____ 13. Since this application apppears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. 14. Other

EXAMINER'S ACTION

Serial No. 08/051,313

Art Unit 2515

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121:

- I. Claims 1-8, drawn to an active matrix display device, classified in Class 359, subclass 59.
- II. Claims 9-19, drawn to a method of driving an active matrix display device, classified in Class 345, subclass 92.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (M.P.E.P. § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the driving method as claimed can be used to drive the active matrix display of Applicant's Prior Art Figs. 2(A), 2(B).

Invention I is further divided into the following patentably distinct species:

A: claim 5 drawn to an active matrix display according to Figs. 1B, 6A, 6B.

B: claims 6-8 drawn to an active matrix display according to Fig. 4.

Serial No. 08/051,313

Art Unit 2515

Claims 1-4 are generic with respect to species A and B, and will be examined with the elected species, A or B.

Invention II is further divided into the following patentably distinct species:

C: claims 9-16 drawn to a driving method according to Figs. 1(C) and 3(A).

D: claims 18-19 drawn to a driving method according to Figs. 1(D) and 3(B).

Claim 20 will be examined with species B if species B is elected.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status on the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is required to elect a single disclosed species of the elected invention (Group I or Group II) for prosecution on the merits.

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed.

-4-

Serial No. 08/051,313

Art Unit 2515

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to T. Duong at telephone number (703) 308-4873.

Duong/EW October 05, 1994 WHELPACE SHESS
SUPERVISOR FOR THE EXAMINER
ORIOUS 2000